Erratum to "Solutions problem 89-2: On the principal value of a quadruple integral", SIAM Rev. 32 (1990) 143.

Richard J. Mathar*
Max-Planck Institute of Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

(Dated: December 2, 2014)

W. B. Jordan's conclusion that the quadruple principal value integral in problem 89-2 vanishes does not hold. The error sneaks in through a contribution of a subintegral which impedes some sign symmetry with respect to the master parameter (the Fermi radius) and which was overlooked in the published solution. In summary, the original problem of solving the quadruple integral remains unsolved.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp, 71.10Ca

Keywords: Two-dimensional Fermi Gas, Exchange Integral

arXiv:1412.0032v1 [math.GM] 22 Nov 2014

I. STATEMENT OF THE TASK

The manuscript is concerned with the evaluation of the principal value of the quadruple integral [1]

$$F(a) = P\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx}{x^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx'}{x'}\int_{-1}^{1}dy\int_{-1}^{1}dy'\frac{x-x'}{(x-x')^2+(y+y')^2}\Delta(x,y)\Delta(x',y'). \quad (1)$$

The function $\Delta(x,y)$ is defined to be $+1$ in the moon-shaped region inside the unit circle centered at $x=a$, and it is -1 in the moon-shaped mirror region inside the unit circle centered at $x=-a$. In the infinite exterior region and in the lens-shaped region around the center, where the two circles overlap, $\Delta(x,y)$ is zero. The two connected regions that contribute to the integral are illustrated in Figure 1. They touch each other at two common points on the vertical axis.

* http://www.mpia.de/~mathar



FIG. 1. The two moon-shaped regions of integration for x' and y' and for x and y, one filled with bubbles, the other with hatching depending on the sign. The circles intersect the vertical axis at $y, y' = \pm\sqrt{1-a^2}$.

The two circles represent Fermi disks in the application to solid state physics [2–4]; this is not relevant to the further calculation.

The effect of switching the sign of the parameter a is

$$\Delta(x,y) \to -\Delta(x,y); \quad \Delta(x',y') \to -\Delta(x',y'). \tag{2}$$

So the product $\Delta(x,y)\Delta(x',y')$ is invariant towards changing the sign of a, and

$$F(a) = F(-a). \tag{3}$$

In that sense one only needs to consider $a \geq 0$ for the rest of the calculation.

II. CRITICISM OF JORDAN'S CONCLUSION

Jordan's calculation [5] argues that the double inner integral $I(x,y)$ of F, an integral over the full right circle of x' and y' in Figure 1, is an even function of a. This would cause the entire integral to vanish at the time when the sum over both circles is involved, because $\Delta(x',y')$ is an odd function of a.

The error appears implicitly in the step

$$\begin{aligned} I_2/\pi &= \int_0^a (A - Be^{-u}) \operatorname{csch} u dr + \int_a^1 B dr \\ &= \int_0^a (A \operatorname{csch} u - B \coth u) dr + \int_0^1 B dr, \end{aligned}$$

in the 4-th but last equation on page 144, which splits $\int_0^a B dr$ off the left integral and unites it with the right integral. Although formally correct, this step *only* applies to the cases where $a \geq 0$. If a is *negative*, the upper limit of the first and the lower limit of the second integral in the first of these two lines must be clamped to zero; this is basically a consequence of the role of r as a radial circular coordinate which cannot become negative.

By an equivalent reasoning, the step is not correct if $a > 1$, because then the upper limit on r is 1 and the second integral $\int_a^1 B dr$ should not contribute at all.

We see that for negative a the first integral $\int_0^a (A - Be^{-u})\,\mathrm{csch}\,u dr$ should not contribute at all and the second be changed to $\int_0^1 B dr$, so the contribution of $\log[(p+2a)/p']$ to I_2/π in the last equation of page 144 must be dropped for negative a.

As a consequence, Jordan's final equation

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2}\log(2x)^4[(x+iy)^2+1-a^2][(x-iy)^2+1-a^2]$$

is invalid whenever a is negative. Although I in that form is an even function of a, its validity is restricted to $a > 0$—and for the congruential reason concerning the upper limit also to $a < 1$. The cancellation claimed by Jordan when the values at positive and negative a are subtracted while calculating the double integral over x' and y' is simply inhibited because the values of I_2 at negative a are not those obtained by symmetric (even) extrapolation.

Glasser's quest [1] of obtaining values of the quadruple integral—perhaps not analytically but merely with satisfactory numerical methods—remains unanswered so far.

---

[1] M. L. Glasser, SIAM Rev. **31**, 119 (1989).
[2] P. F. Maldague, Sol. State Commun. **26**, 133 (1978).
[3] A. K. Rajagopal and J. C. Kimball, Phys. Rev. B **15**, 2819 (1977).
[4] D. J. W. Geldart and R. Taylor, Can. J. Phys. **48**, 155 (1970).
[5] W. B. Jordan, SIAM Rev. **32**, 143 (1990).